



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,326	01/25/2006	Sean Geoffrey Maddox	CPG0188DB	1635
38235	7590	03/23/2009	EXAMINER	
MEADWESTVACO CORPORATION ATTN: IP LEGAL DEPARTMENT 1021 Main Campus Drive Raleigh, NC 27606				BOSWELL, CHRISTOPHER J
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3673				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketadministrator@mwv.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/540,326	MADDOX ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	CHRISTOPHER BOSWELL	3673	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER BOSWELL. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Donald Bauer. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 March 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: The applicant demonstrated the apparatus by showing how the article is positioned via the magnets to release the security device from the article. Additionally, the applicant showed the size of the article is irrelevant as the geometry of the apparatus is open to receive various sizes of articles.

Claim(s) discussed: 15 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,880,372 to Kim.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant discussed the limitations reciting the article extending beyond the apparatus in both the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> directions, where the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> directions are opposed from each other and perpendicular to the orthogonal angle formed by the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> directions. The examiner indicated that Kim does not show this feature, and the corresponding rejection will be withdrawn in the subsequent office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christopher Boswell/ Examiner, Art Unit 3673	
--	--